



Technology Committee

December 1, 2025

11:00 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.

The Living Room (70-162)-PREFERRED

or

<https://gccccd-edu.zoom.us/j/82775621081>

Notes

Please note: We strongly prefer that members attend face-to-face, but we do offer a remote option for those who are not able to be present for any particular meeting.

Purpose: The Technology Committee identifies, prioritizes and advocates for the College's technology needs and services. It makes recommendations to the College Council for the strategic direction and implementation of technology priorities. These recommendations address technology policies and procedures, prioritization of technology requests from annual unit plans*, infrastructure requirements for existing programs, and projected needs of the college for the future. The committee will ensure that its recommendations are consistent with the objectives established in the Technology Plan, Strategic Plan, Educational Master Plan and other supporting plans (Human Resources, Facilities, etc.). In addition, the Technology Committee maintains currency in relation to technology changes and information from industry, the District and the State Chancellor's Office.

Members

CO-CHAIRS	ASGC	ADVISORY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Niko Crumpton	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jayson Alvarado	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Joan Ahrens
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> John Czworkowski	<input type="checkbox"/> Nathaniel Harris	<input type="checkbox"/> Adrienne Garay-Lee
		<input type="checkbox"/> Meya Alomar
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sang Bai
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> John Stephens
		<input type="checkbox"/> Andy Timm

ACADEMIC SENATE	CLASSIFIED SENATE	ADMINISTRATORS' ASSOCIATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> John Czworkowski	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Janet Shipstead	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abby Algarin
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Leila Parelio	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Martin Phillip	<input type="checkbox"/> Vacant

EX-OFFICIO	RECORDER	GUESTS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bryan Cooper	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Michele Martens	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adelle Roe		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dave Steinmetz		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carl Fielden		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ruth Alcaraz		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Diana Kiryakous		

Routine Business

1. Welcome	Done
2. Open Comment	None
3. Additions/Deletions to Agenda	None
4. Approve Meeting Notes	October 27, 2025, done

New Business

5. Discuss Current AUPs	<p>There are 28 in the Canvas shell now, but some are repeats from last year and we have yet to find out if any were approved from the previous year. This may bring the total number lower.</p> <p>There are some requests that may or may not be considered true technology and need to come through the AUP process. For example, stage lights and microscopes. These seem like a facilities request at first, but they are used in the teaching process.</p>
6. Is it Tech?	<p>Having logical circuits can be a measure of whether or not it's technology.</p> <p>Histology slides is another request that does not seem to be technology. Where would this request go? Most are for instructional use and very expensive.</p> <p>Also a request for a human body model that is interactive and expensive. Can we add an instructional materials category through the AUP process?</p> <p>If not facilities or tech, how do departments request expensive supplies through the AUP process? Meya and Joan would be the ones to contact.</p> <p>The ALC requests for models and histology slides are not considered technology.</p> <p>Niko will let the ALC know about histology slides and human models are not technology.</p> <p>Moving forward, we would like the AUP process to outline what, exactly, is considered technology. Including logic circuits?</p> <p>Niko to reach out to Tate?</p>

7. Scheduling AUPs for Review	<p>Shall we do presentations again this fy? Last year we did offer in person and remote presentations. John believes we can accomplish the presentations in 2 of our meetings last year. Do at Feb and March meetings. We do need to know how many will present and how many AUPs may have been filled with last year's AUP.</p> <p>Shall we accept pre-recorded presentations? Not a popular idea. Also, last year some presentations were not well attended by Tech Committee members, so there was little active interaction.</p> <p>We will advertise only Feb presentation schedule and offer March only if March fills (5 min presentation 2 to present, 2-3 for q's). We can do 10 presentations a day.</p>
-------------------------------	--

8. Action Plan	<p>Located in Canvas shell. Asked the team to fill out the survey last meeting. Under the Quizzes tab, action plan 2025-2026. What do we want to spend time on this fy?</p> <p>Viewed 24-25 action plan items. Bryan sent a breakdown of where we are with technology on our 24-25 list.</p> <p><u>25-26</u></p> <p>Upgrade existing network to support the action items for more wifi and available tech. This means working with District IT.</p> <p>Include how AI is handled at all levels.</p> <p>AI update from committee on AI. Including the additional server for AI—more of an informational update. The electrical for AI server installed and network is functional. 70-110 good to go now. PR for server hardware done and with purchasing.</p> <p>John C mentioned our committee should be involved in many issues related to AI, so we need to keep on top of the advancements going on. Sensitive issue with PG, who is responsible for what with all the committees, councils, Ac. Senate, etc that are discussing.</p> <p>Continue to increase the number of laptops and chromebooks for student check out.</p> <p>Should we check on the need for additional labs vs. additional laptops to checkout. We, as a committee, need to understand the trend of usage for technology. Bryan will pull data from Lab Stats on lab usage around campus.</p> <p>Should we be using chromebooks, esp for students, due to google gathering data when users are online. We are looking at getting our own google sign on to circumvent google gathering of data. Looking to use MS office on chromebooks, too.</p>
----------------	---

Announcements

9. No update on last year's AUPs	Still pending the prioritization list from last year's requests.
10. This year's AUPs in Canvas Shell	Martin and Diana need access to the Canvas shell and ready to review.

11. No Update on the District New Change Management Committee/Process	Niko spoke with Kerry and Stephen and he was told there is still work to do on getting this new committee/process up and running. Reviews software and tech requests. Niko asked which type of tech requests go to the new committee and there has been no clarification yet. There is a draft in progress for the new process and procedures. Hope to have updates in the spring.
---	---

Committee & Monthly Reports

12. Technology Updates from Other Areas	n/a
13. Summary of items from today's meeting that each member will report back to their constituent group	n/a

WORK AHEAD: AUPs

Spring 2026 Meeting Schedule (4th Mondays):
Reschedule January 26, 2026? **Yes!** (Professional Development Week)
Move to Friday, Feb 6, 11-1230

February 23, 2026
March 23, 2026
April 27, 2026