




Fall 2020 Participatory Governance Survey

College Planning & Institutional Effectiveness Office

Who completed the surveys?




Quality of Communication




Quality of Communication

Quality of information flow from the committee to constituency groups: Committee Member Ratings




Quality of information flow from the committee to constituency groups: Co-Chair Ratings




Quality of Communication



Quality of information flow from constituency groups to the committee: Committee Member Ratings



Quality of information flow from constituency groups to the committee: Co-Chair Ratings



Quality of Communication



Fall 2020
Participatory Governance
Survey
Written Comments

Question #9

Based on your experience on the committee this academic year, please describe how the committee's work could be improved.

Themes

- Lack of clear goals – 9
- Information flow (lack of understanding) – 5
- Need to improve communication – 3
- Actionable agenda desired—3
- Lack of understanding of role of committee in gov. str. – 2
- Power structure (one respondent)
- Lack of transparency (website) - 1

Question #13

Please briefly explain why you chose the response you did in the previous question (Please rate your opinion on the overall effectiveness of our participatory governance structure).

Themes

- Effective = 15
- Ineffective = 5
- Good info flow = 5
- Poor info flow = 1
- Better communication needed = 5
- Collegial consultation taking place = 3
- Need actionable agenda = 2
- More student involvement needed = 2