MINUTES OF THE ACADEMIC SENATE
Grossmont College
Monday, February 3, 11-12:10 in Griffin Gate

I. CALL TO ORDER
A. Public Comment: Black History Month Announcements: James Canady
B. Approval of Agenda, M/S/U Wirig/Working
C. Approval of Minutes of December 2, 2013
   M/S/U Lambe/Wirig

II. PRESIDENT’S REPORT – Sue Gonda

Certificates of Appreciation were presented to: Denise Schumeyer for her work as our pioneer Professional Development Coordinator, 2011-2013 and to Paul Turounet for stepping in as Senate Officer during the Fall, 2013 semester.

Introduced were Doug Hersh, the new Dean of Learning and Technology Resources, who said he is interested in doing all he can to enhance teaching and learning, and Lorena Ruggero, Director of College and Community Relations, who asks faculty to please contact her with news about their work or their programs or students.

Website Update: The Website Task Force is collecting feedback on the functionalities of the new site. Please go to the mock-up of the new website, and also see a Powerpoint slide show at www.grossmont.edu/redesign. The feedback form is there if you have questions or concerns. The new website will be launched at the end of September, 2014, which is a low-user time for students. There will be testing of the site before it goes live. (There is no good time to launch, and we needed additional time to get feedback from our colleges, so the launch was pushed back from the end of August to the end of September.) There will be training. If you have Learning Modules outside of Blackboard, contact Chris Rodgers to get on a contact list. Sue has already heard from Physics and CSIS faculty with links and specific concerns. Departments should review their current websites and remove old, out-of-date material. You will be contacted about where you will want your department material to appear within the “architecture” of the new website.

Planning & Resources: Approved four new faculty positions from the list submitted by the Faculty Staffing Committee: English, Math, Counseling & Cardiovascular Technology. After our budget has been finalized in May, the Council will review our funding to see if we can go further down the list to hire additional faculty.

III. COMMITTEES
None

IV. ACTION ITEMS
A. Distinguished Faculty and Other Awards Processes.
   Motion to approve the Proposal to modify the Distinguished Faculty Award Process to include an award for Part-Time Faculty. See the new form, Attachment A. M/S/U Gastil/Wirig

B. Adjunct Rank Proposal
   Motion to approve Adjunct Rank Document. See updated document, Attachment B. Suggestions were made to improve wording in areas that exactly duplicates the full-time document. Rather than creating a disparity between the two documents, the Rank Committee will consider those suggestions and modify both documents at the same time. M/S/U Sim/Myers

C. ACCJC Resolution Draft from CFT
   Motion to approve the “Resolution for Effective and Transparent Processes by ACCJC” See Attachment C. M/S/U Myers/Curran

V. INFORMATION ITEMS
None
PROPOSAL TO MODIFY THE DISTINGUISHED FACULTY AWARD PROCESS TO INCLUDE AN AWARD FOR PART-TIME FACULTY

Background: The Distinguished Faculty Award Nomination packet states, “It is an essential part of academic life to identify, encourage and reward excellence among the faculty. With respect to this end, Grossmont College will present an Annual Distinguished Faculty Award to a superior faculty member, selected by peers and publicly acclaimed.”

The Academic Senate’s Part-Time Faculty Committee recommends an award to be given annually to a part-time faculty member who has a distinguished record of service “above and beyond.”

- The criteria should be equitable and parallel to that of the full-time award, and the PTFC recommends that the same criteria be used for nominations with one addition: amend the first question to include how the nominee “contributed to the teaching or service excellence in his/her department.”
- Upon review, the Senate Officers Committee agreed this is a fine addition to the form for all nominees, since there is no mention of teaching/student service excellence in the nomination questions, despite the fact that Section III(a) of the nomination Criteria is “each recipient will also show a sustained commitment to excellence: (a) in teaching, or in the performance of other assigned duties.”
- PROCESS: Solicit nominations each Spring for both full- and part-time faculty members, and the Committee will select two recipients each year: one full-time, one part-time. Modify the Distinguished Faculty Award Committee membership as follows:
  - 5 faculty, one from each division as stated in the Nomination Packet
  - 1 at-large member
  - At least 2 members must be full-time; at least 2 members must be part-time
  - Facilitated by the Senate Vice President, who will be the tie breaker if necessary.

PROPOSAL FOR NOMINATING GROSSMONT FACULTY FOR OUTSTANDING SERVICE AWARDS BEYOND THE COLLEGE

- Grossmont’s current Distinguished Faculty members will become nominees for similar awards beyond the College. If, like the ASCCC Hayward Award, full-time or part-time members are only awarded every other year, then the Distinguished Faculty Committee will decide the best candidate of the two years under consideration (e.g., the current Distinguished Faculty member and the individual from the previous year).

- In the meantime, for the December 23, 2013 Hayward Award deadline: we do not have a part-time Distinguished Faculty Awardee. Since Grossmont’s region this year submits a part-time faculty candidate: Put out an all-call for nominations for the Hayward award ASAP to be sent to the Senate President. To ensure an adequate pool of nominees, also to be considered are the part-time faculty who received outstanding teaching awards last Spring, 2013. Convene an ad-hoc committee consisting of the Part-Time Faculty Committee and the Senate Officers (or as many of those two groups who are available to serve) to review nominations and decide on a college nominee to send forward.
Nomination Form For the Distinguished Faculty Award

For the nominator, please consult with the nominee and other faculty members, and respond to the following three questions below. The deadline for submission to the chair of campus selection committee (Senate Vice President) is listed on the Academic Senate website. Attach a copy of nominee’s up-to-date, complete curriculum vitae if available; it will be helpful to the Campus Selection Committee in their deliberations to select a recipient of the award.

Name of Nominee: ___________ Full-time ☐ Part-time ☐

Department/Division: ___________

Please provide answers to each of the following questions (there is no word limit on this section).

1. In what way/s has the nominee contributed to excellence with respect to his/her profession and discipline?
   This could be articles in refereed journals or other publications, the acquisition of grants, awards, or perhaps the development of innovative and recognized programs. It could also be ways in which the nominee has contributed to the teaching or service excellence of his/her department.

2. In what way/s has the nominee contributed to excellence with respect to Grossmont College and its affairs?
   Here the emphasis is on involvement and action beyond the classroom or other assigned duties. Activities in this category might be chairing an important campus committee, involvement as a faculty advisor to a student group, serving as department chair or coordinator, serving in the Academic Senate, or perhaps spending time on labor issues or negotiations.

3. In what way/s has the nominee contributed to excellence in the community as a representative of Grossmont College?
   The emphasis here is not so much on involvement as an individual, but as a faculty member who brings some skill to solving a problem. Examples might be off-campus speaking engagements, consulting in nominee’s area of expertise, or donating time to service groups as a college representative.

First Nominator (please print or type) ___________________________ Signature _______________ Date _____________

Brief supporting statement by second nominator (please keep to 250 words or less).
Second Nominator (please print or type)

Brief supporting statement by third nominator (please keep to 250 words or less).

Third Nominator (please print or type)

Verification: Nominee is full-time tenured faculty or part-time faculty of ten semesters who has received an overall rating of 3.5 or higher on two consecutive Evaluation Summaries.

Year of the evaluations: ________________________________________________

Comments:
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________

Administrator providing verification: ____________________

(Please print or type) ____________________ Date ____________________

Dean/Director Signature ____________________
Grossmont College Distinguished Faculty Awards

I. Statement of Purpose
It is an essential part of academic life to identify, encourage and reward excellence among the faculty. To this end, Grossmont College will present two Annual Distinguished Faculty Awards, one to a full-time and one to a part-time faculty member who meet the criteria and are selected by peers and publicly acclaimed.

II. Eligibility
All full-time, tenured faculty members and part-time faculty who have worked a minimum of ten semesters at Grossmont College are eligible to receive the award. Classroom and non-classroom faculty will be eligible by the process described below.

III. Criteria
The recipients of the Annual Distinguished Faculty Award should represent the very best our profession has to offer. Each recipient, in addition to fulfilling all of the job requirements of a full-time faculty member, must also go beyond these duties. Furthermore, each recipient will also show a sustained commitment to excellence:
(a) in teaching, or in the performance of other assigned duties;
(b) as a faculty member who has contributed to his/her discipline;
(c) through an active and involved role in campus affairs; and
(d) by involvement in the community as a representative of the college.

IV. The Awards
The announcement of the awards shall be made at the general faculty meeting during the Fall Professional Development Week. A medallion appropriate to the occasion will be inscribed and presented at that time. The recipients of the award will also receive a stipend of $500. A letter noting the award shall be signed by the President and forwarded to the Personnel Office for inclusion in the recipient's file. The recipients may be introduced to the Governing Board for recognition by mutual agreement of the recipient and the President.

V. Procedure and Decision Rules for Selection
Section 1 - Annually (and by a timeline determined annually by Senate Officer Committee), any faculty member may initiate nomination of any full-time tenured faculty member or a part-time faculty member who has worked a minimum of ten semesters at Grossmont College by submitting his/her name to the respective dean/director of the nominee. This nomination shall be made with respect to the criteria in Part III above.

Section 2 - The Dean/Director will review the nominee's two most recent evaluations to validate the nominee's commitment to excellence in the classroom or in the carrying out of other assigned duties. More specifically, on the nominees' Evaluation Summary, the overall rating must be 3.5 or higher on two consecutive evaluations. If the nominee's evaluation is based on the forms in use prior to 1991-92, the overall ratings must be 75% excellent.

Section 3 - Upon verification of excellence in performing assigned duties by the Dean/Director, the nominator shall submit a nomination form with responses to the three questions below (the nominator may also attach a curriculum vitae).

1. In what way/s has the nominee contributed to excellence with respect to his/her discipline? This could be articles in refereed journals or other publications; the acquisition of grants, awards; or perhaps the development of innovative and recognized programs. It
could also be ways in which the nominee has contributed to the teaching or service excellence of his/her department.

2. **In what way/s has the nominee contributed to excellence with respect to Grossmont College and its affairs?** Here the emphasis is on involvement and action beyond the classroom or other assigned duties. Activities in this category might be chairing an important campus committee, involvement as a faculty advisor to a student group, serving as department chair or coordinator, serving in the Academic Senate, or perhaps spending time on labor issues or negotiations.

3. **In what way/s has the nominee contributed to excellence in the community as a representative of Grossmont College?** The emphasis here is not so much on involvement as an individual, but as a faculty member who brings some skill to solving a problem. Examples might be off-campus speaking engagements, consulting in area of expertise, or by donating time to service groups as a college representative.

**Section 4** – The nominator will submit the official nomination form, with all required signatures and supporting statements to the Campus Selection Committee by the appropriate due date. If possible, curriculum vitae should also be included.

**Section 5** - For the purpose of selection, faculty in the L.R.C., Counseling, and Special Services shall be considered as a single "division" described in section 5 above. The Vice-President of Student Services shall assume the duties of the Division Dean.

**Section 6** - The Academic Senate shall annually form a Campus Selection Committee (CSC). The CSC shall include the President of the Senate (or designee), who will act as a non-voting chair, and one faculty member from each division, including one faculty member from the "division" described in Section 6 above. This slate shall be presented to the Senate as the CSC.

**Section 7** - The Campus Selection Committee is to review the nominations and select the single recipient for the award. The finalist who receives the largest number of votes shall be the Distinguished Faculty.

**Section 8** - The name of the Distinguished Faculty shall not be made public but will be forwarded to the Academic Senate President who will notify the college president, awardee and the other finalists.

**VI. Concerning Year-long Recognition:**
- The Distinguished Faculty person from the previous year shall be present (if possible) and play a role in recognizing the current recipient during the president’s address of Fall Professional Development Week.
- During the Spring Recognition, the Academic Senate President or designee will have an opportunity to commend the recipient.
- The recipient shall serve as an ambassador for the college.
- A photograph of the recipient shall be displayed in the Learning Resources Center and recognized in suitable media.
- The recipient's name shall be posted in the commencement printed program, and he/she shall have special seating at Commencement.

**Monetary Awards:**
- The College President will assume the responsibility of seeking the funding for the stipend.
- The check will either be made out to the recipient of the award or to another group, charity, or department if the recipient so designates.
VII. Review and Approval of Process
This process has been reviewed and approved by the President of Grossmont College and its Academic Senate.
Attachment B (Action item 2-3-14)

ACADEMIC RANK POLICY FOR ADJUNCT FACULTY

Faculty whose professional activities meet the criteria are invited to petition the Academic Senate for the appropriate rank

XI-A. ACADEMIC RANK POLICY FOR ADJUNCT FACULTY
This Policy Pertains SOLELY to Adjunct Faculty Affiliated with Grossmont College through the Grossmont College Academic Senate.

ARTICLE I – Non-compensation
Faculty who work less than a full-time contract at Grossmont College will be designated Adjunct Instructor, Librarian, Counselor or Learning Specialist as appropriate. The awarding of adjunct academic rank shall not result in any change in the salary schedule or in the position which the faculty member occupies on that schedule. Any attempt to affect such a change shall result in revocation of Grossmont College’s Academic Senate sponsorship of this plan. Adjunct rank will not follow a person should they attain a tenure-track position in the District.

ARTICLE II – Designations
A. Adjunct Professor: Twenty semesters employed as a Grossmont College faculty member and fulfilling at least two of the criteria listed in Article III to include one from each criteria A and B.

B. Adjunct Associate Professor: Twelve semesters employed as a Grossmont College faculty member and fulfilling at least one of the criteria listed in Article III.

C. Adjunct Assistant Professor: Eight semesters employed as a Grossmont College faculty member and fulfilling at least one of the criteria listed in Article III.

ARTICLE III – Criteria

A. Criterion One – Professional Achievement
1. Possession of an earned doctorate from an accredited institution.

2. Initiated and played a major role in the implementation of an educational program recognized by the Academic Rank Committee (ARC) as a significant benefit to students.

3. Made significant contributions to the community’s cultural enrichment through personal achievements in the performing, literary and/or visual arts.

4. Authored or co-authored a refereed text or research article, or computer software in petitioner’s subject specialty recognized by the ARC as significant.

5. Made presentations at professional conferences or meetings recognized by the ARC as academically significant to petitioner’s discipline.

6. Obtained outside funding or grants to enhance the college’s teaching, research, and/or educational resources.

7. Other professional achievement recognized by the ARC as at least equal in significance to any of the above.
**B. Criterion Two – College Service**
1. Served at least four semesters as a coordinator or served at least four semesters as a member of the Academic Senate or Academic Senate Part-Time Faculty Committee.

2. Served at least two semesters on any major college or District committee (e.g., Curriculum, Program Review, Professional Development, Planning & Resources, Basic Skills, Institutional Excellence, Part-Time Faculty Committee) within the past five years.

3. Served at least six semesters on any combination of college or district committees within the past five years not covered by the previous criterion.

4. Chaired a major committee within the past five years for a period of at least two semesters.

5. Other college service that the ARC deems to be at least equal in significance to any of the above.

**ARTICLE IV - Procedures**

**A. Application Process**
1. Obtain an Academic Rank Petition form from Forms Depot.

2. Complete the petition and attach applicable supporting materials.

3. Obtain the appropriate Dean/Director’s verification of satisfactory or better scores on the two most recent evaluations.

4. Submit the completed petition to the President of Academic Senate or the Chair of Academic Rank Committee.

**B. Approval Process**
1. The Academic Rank Committee consists of four members elected by the Academic Senate.

2. Decisions of the Academic Rank Committee must be unanimous.

3. Petitions will be rejected only for failure to meet the criteria.

4. Petitioners who do not receive a unanimous vote will receive a written explanation.

5. The Chair of the Academic Rank Committee will submit recommendations for rank to the President of the Academic Senate.

6. Approval of rank shall require a majority vote of the senators present at a regular meeting of the Academic Senate.

7. A Certificate of Rank will be granted by the Academic Senate.

8. The Academic Senate will forward in an annual report the names of faculty approved for academic rank to the college President for publication and dissemination.
C. Conciliation Process
1. An unsuccessful petitioner is entitled to meet with the Academic Rank Committee if he/she feels that, through violation of the procedure or misinterpretation of the criteria or of the petition, the Academic Rank Committee is in error.

2. The petitioner will request that the Chair of the Academic Rank Committee convene the Committee to hear the petitioner.

3. The Academic Rank Committee will reevaluate its decision and, if rank is recommended, notify the President of the Academic Senate.

D. Appeal Process
1. If no resolution is possible at the conciliation level, the petitioner will present written details of the appeal along with a copy of his/her original application for rank to the Collegial Mediation Committee.

2. The petitioner will notify the Academic Rank Committee in writing that an appeal has been presented to the Collegial Mediation Committee.

3. The Professional Relations Committee will meet to resolve the appeal within ten (10) working days (exclusive of summer) of receipt of the appeal.

4. The College Mediation Committee will forward a written response to the petitioner with a copy to the Academic Rank Committee.

ARTICLE V – Publication of Academic Rank
Each person who is awarded academic rank will be accorded the benefits and recognition of rank. A Certificate of Rank, signed by the President of Grossmont College, the President of the Academic Senate and the Chancellor, will be presented to the Adjunct faculty member.
RESOLUTION FOR EFFECTIVE AND TRANSPARENT PROCESSES BY ACCJC

Whereas, the U.S. system of regional peer-review accreditation has been well-established and provided essential guarantees of quality in America’s post-secondary institutions since the 1950’s; and

Whereas, keys to the overall success of this unique system are a spirit of collaboration and mutual respect between the regional commissions and their member institutions and a shared focus on the needs and interests of the students who attend these institutions; and

Whereas, the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges has expressed various concerns with the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (ACCJC) regarding faculty participation and representation on the Commission’s committees and on on-site evaluation teams through a variety of means, including resolutions passed by the body, concerns expressed to the California Community College Chancellor’s Office, and the Board of Governors, and letters sent by the Academic Senate to the U.S. Department of Education (USDE)

Whereas, the Western region is the only one of the six accrediting regions of the U.S. where a separate commission exists for the purpose of accrediting two-year institutions rather than having a single commission accredit both two-year and four-year institutions; and

Whereas, the current troubled nature of the relationship between ACCJC and some of its member institutions is manifested in multiple ways, including the following:

- The extraordinary frequency and severity of sanctions levied by ACCJC against California community colleges compared to sanction levels and types seen in other regions and in four-year institutions accredited by WASC-Senior
- Articles and complaints prepared and approved by organizations such as the Community College Council of the California Federation of Teachers, the Community College Association of the California Teachers Association, the California Community College Independents, and the Faculty Association of the California Community Colleges
- The creation of two separate Task Forces by two different State Chancellors in order to grapple with the issues surrounding the accreditation of the system’s colleges
- ACCJC’s announcements in July 2012 and July 2013, of its action first to place CCSF on “Show Cause” and then to deny accreditation to CCSF, effective July 2014 without offering adequate resources to make improvements, actions which have created an unprecedented public relations, enrollment and financial crisis for that institution, threatening its very existence as the only public community college in the City and County of San Francisco, despite widespread support for the college from the people of San Francisco

Resolved, That the Academic Senate of Grossmont College hereby expresses its deep concern over the nature of relationships between ACCJC and some of California’s community colleges; and

Resolved, That Grossmont College urges the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges to model and exemplify for its member institutions effective and transparent self-evaluation practices by acknowledging and addressing any areas of non-compliance identified in evaluations by the U.S. Department of Education (USDE) Accreditation Group and the National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Improvement (NACIQI), and to document and make public what steps it will take to address any areas of non-compliance.